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Abstract
This research study compared scientific inquiry method and traditional lecture method of teaching. Scientific inquiry was divided in the three levels—guided scientific inquiry, unguided scientific inquiry and combination or mixed (guided & unguided) scientific inquiry. The major objective of this study was “to study the effect of three levels of scientific inquiry method and traditional method of teaching physics on students’ performance and their proficiency to apply the physics knowledge in real life situations. The pretest post test control group experimental design is used in this research study. Three experimental groups were taught by scientific inquiry. One of the experimental groups was taught by guided scientific inquiry; Second group was taught by unguided scientific inquiry and third was taught by combination scientific inquiry and fourth group was taught by traditional method. Groups were randomly chosen for the treatment. Pretest was used groups equivalence and posttest was used to compare students’ achievement in physics. Research explored that there is significant effect of guided, unguided and combination scientific inquiry on the students’ achievement than traditional physics teaching method and their proficiency to apply the concepts of chemistry in real situations.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The importance of students’ learning to put their latent skills to optimal use is self-evident as education inculcates decision-making abilities in students. Learning of different subjects may not yield similar results as there are many factors effects students’ achievement but teaching methods almost have same effect on students’ learning. Teaching of physics is facing dilemma for teachers as well as students. Physics curricula should inculcate creative thinking and critical analysis in students. Mathematical foundations must be a part of curriculum to develop the concepts and the ideas of physics. Physics students outfitted with crystal-clear concepts should have the proficiencies to solve problems, in the classroom, laboratory, practical problems related to industry, and household real life. Pakistan is also facing problems in teaching of physics at undergraduate level. Teaching of Physics suffers because due to limited resources, equipment and latest physics books.

Problems in teaching physics can be minimizing by selected suitable teaching method. If one learns physics concepts properly, one should be able to solve unseen problems. This is the major difference in teaching strategies of Pakistani institutions versus US institutions. The former focus on definitions and derivations, whereas the later emphasize on concept building (Kamal, Arif, 2003). In Pakistan due to economic constraints stress is on theory and laboratories are inadequate. There is no awareness of the importance of physics in the Government officials and among the people (Rashid, Khalid, 2005). Scientific inquiry method brought new developments in the field of education. According Exline, Joe, (2004) scientific inquiry method implies involvement of students that leads to understanding. Furthermore, students’ involvement in learning implies possessing skills and attitudes that permit to seek resolutions to questions and issues while you construct new knowledge. “Inquiry” is defined as “a seeking for truth, information, or knowledge -- seeking information by questioning.”. Student inquiry is defined as a versatile activity that involves making observations, posing questions, examining books and other sources of information to see what is already known; planning investigations; reviewing what is already known in light of the student’s experimental evidence; using tools to gather, analyze and interpret data; proposing answers, explanation, and predictions; and communicating the results.
Inquiry requires of assumptions, use of critical and logical thinking, and consideration of alternative explanations (Exline, Joe, 2003). The present study was intended to scrutinize how the presence of scientific inquiry might affect undergraduate student’s achievement in physics. In this study Atkin & Karplus (1962) Learning Cycle is the bases of the Scientific Inquiry method.

2. **SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY**

The upshots of this research may be beneficial for physics teachers, students, curriculum developers, educational authorities, and in general educational system. Physics teachers may be able to select different appropriate apparatus, tools and materials to promote their teaching by emphasizing on strategies and instructional approaches in the context. Physics teacher may be engaged students in investigations by ensuring a safe working environment make available science tools, materials, media, and technological resources. Students may be proficient in framing and solving the problems associated with inquiry activities to solve problems in context of daily life. Students may be prepared for the lifelong challenges by developing competence.

3. **HYPOTHESES**

Following are seven null hypotheses for the study:

**H01:** There is no significant difference of scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics.

**H02:** There is no significant difference of guided scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics.

**H03:** There is no significant difference of unguided scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics.

**H04:** There is no significant difference of combine scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics.

**H05:** There is no significant difference of guided scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ ability to apply knowledge of physics concepts in real life.

**H06:** There is no significant difference of unguided scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ ability to apply knowledge of physics concepts in real life.

**H07:** There is no significant difference of combined scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ ability to apply knowledge of physics concepts in real life.

**H08:** There is no significant difference of combine scientific inquiry method of teaching and guided scientific inquiry method of teaching on students’ ability achievement in physics.

**H09:** There is no significant difference of combined scientific inquiry method of teaching and unguided scientific inquiry method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics.

**H10:** There is no significant difference of combined scientific inquiry method of teaching and unguided scientific inquiry method of teaching on students’ ability achievement in physics.

4. **METHODOLOGY: POPULATION, SAMPLING, AND INSTRUMENTATION**

a. **POPULATION AND SAMPLING**

All 10th grade students of public institutions in Faisalabad District studying physics comprise target population while all 10th grade students of Govt. higher secondary school Chak Jhumra District Faisalabad studying physics is the accessible population for this study. 175 male physics students out of 279 male physics students of 10th grade of age 15-17 years were selected. Selected students were available for the intelligence test and socio-economic status performa. 123 students were matched on their scores obtained on the intelligence test and socio-economic status performa, four equivalent groups of each 30 students using matching by pairs technique were formed so that each subject in the control group had a match in the three experimental groups. All the matched pairs participated in the study.

b. **INSTRUMENTATION**

Following three instruments were used in the study.

1. Physics Proficiency test
2. Students’ Intelligence test
3. Socio-economic status performa

MCQs type Physics proficiency test was developed by the researcher with the help of senior subject specialists from Punjab Education Assessment System (PEAS). Test Items closely reflected the objectives of the research.
Validity and reliability was ensured through tryout and pilot testing along with content alignment analysis. Content validity was determined by the expert judgment. Physics proficiency test was used as pretest and post test to measure students’ proficiency of the physics topics taught to them during the experiment. Student’s intelligence in the form of raw scores was measured by using J.C. Ravens’ Standard progressive matrices. The researcher developed socio-economic status performa to measure the socio-economic status of their parents. Socio-economic status performa was validated through expert opinion. Numerical values were assigned to each indicator and total score on socio-economic status performa was calculated for each sampled student.

c. PROCEDURER

Four groups each of 30 students were randomly chosen. Pretest was conducted before experiment to check the group’s initial equivalence. The mean of four groups was approximately 20. It validate that all groups were almost same on the pretest. Three experimental groups were taught by the guided scientific inquiry, unguided scientific inquiry, combination scientific inquiry and the fourth control group was taught by the lecture method. Four science teachers of same qualification were selected for the study. Three teachers were trained to teach three experimental groups and fourth teacher assigned to control group of the study for the period of three months. Students of three experimental groups passed through the exploration, concept introduction, concept application three phases of the learning cycle. Students of the first experimental group were given the treatment of guided inquiry and they passed through exploration, concept introduction, concept application the three phases of the learning cycle under the guidance of the teacher. It was teacher directed. Students of the second experimental group were given the treatment of unguided inquiry and learned through their involvement and action.

In this group the teacher released the responsibility and it was student directed. The third experimental group was given the treatment of combination (guided/unguided) inquiry, the teacher in this group acted as a facilitator and asked or posed questions, gave ideas. In this approach guided inquiry was followed by unguided inquiry. The main focal point of this cram of research was to actively engage physics students using scientific inquiry in the class. Students were divided into small groups to build up each other’s ideas for better understanding. The usages of different apparatus/tools over the three months period provide evidence of advances in the student’s scientific inquiry ability. Throughout the study four groups covered the same subject matter. Pretest was conducted as post test to four groups to compare their achievement in physics at the end of the experiment

5. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

The data was collected by using students’ intelligence test and socio-economic status performa before the experiment, and physics proficiency test was administered as pretest and post test before and after the experiment. Following null hypothesis were tested by analyzing data collected from four groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Difference in SD</th>
<th>df.</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H01: There is no significant difference of scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics.</td>
<td>Lecture Method</td>
<td>31.2667</td>
<td></td>
<td>-6.3888</td>
<td>1.28678</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-27.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td>37.6556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>H02: There is no significant difference of guided scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics.</td>
<td>Lecture Method</td>
<td>31.2667</td>
<td></td>
<td>-9.3666</td>
<td>2.12511</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-24.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Guided Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td>40.6333</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>H03: There is no significant difference of unguided scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics.</td>
<td>Lecture Method</td>
<td>31.2667</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.30000</td>
<td>.87691</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-20.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unguided Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td>34.5667</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 show that all null hypotheses were rejected. Therefore

- There is significant difference of scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics. Scientific inquiry method of teaching is significantly better than lecture method.

- There is significant difference of guided scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics. Guided scientific inquiry method of teaching is significantly better than lecture method.

- There is significant difference of unguided scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics. Unguided scientific inquiry method of teaching is significantly better than lecture method.
• There is significant difference of combine scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics. Combination scientific inquiry method of teaching is significantly better than lecture method.
• There is significant difference of guided scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ ability to apply knowledge of physics concepts in real life. Guided scientific inquiry method of teaching is significantly better than lecture method.
• There is significant difference of unguided scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ ability to apply knowledge of physics concepts in real life. Unguided scientific inquiry method of teaching is significantly better than lecture method.
• There is significant difference of combined scientific inquiry method of teaching and Lecture method of teaching on students’ ability to apply knowledge of physics concepts in real life. Combination scientific inquiry method of teaching is significantly better than lecture method.
• There is no significant difference of combined scientific inquiry method of teaching and guided scientific inquiry method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics.
• There is no significant difference of combined scientific inquiry method of teaching and unguided scientific inquiry method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics.
• There is no significant difference of guided scientific inquiry method of teaching and unguided scientific inquiry method of teaching on students’ achievement in physics.

6. DISCUSSION

The differences between the means scores on the physics proficiency test by experimental groups were highly significant and statistically in favour of scientific inquiry. This proved that scientific inquiry is better method of teaching for teaching physics at secondary level. Mean scores of guided scientific inquiry (40.6), unguided scientific inquiry (31.6) and combination scientific inquiry (37.8) were compared with lecture method (34.3). The results of the research indicated that guided scientific inquiry, combination scientific inquiry, and unguided scientific inquiry methods of teaching physics are respectively better than lecture method. In the comparison of guided scientific inquiry, combination scientific inquiry, and unguided scientific inquiry methods it is also found unguided scientific inquiry, combination scientific inquiry, and guided scientific inquiry methods are respectively better methods for teaching physics. These results also supported by the R. M, Goertzen. (2000) research findings on teaching physics by inquiry.

This research also signified that the students who taught by the guided, unguided and combination scientific inquiry methods of teaching were better in applying the concepts of physics to real life situations as compared to those students who were taught with traditional lecture method of teaching physics. So it means that the results were highly significant statistically in favour of guided scientific inquiry, unguided scientific inquiry and combination scientific inquiry. All the findings of this research were supported by the research work of Sweller’s (1988, 1999) supported guided inquiry. Jabot, Michael and Kautz, Christian (2000) compared two different methodological approaches to the teaching of heat and temperature.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

According to Hrepic, Zollman & Rebello (2007) lecture is probably the oldest instructional format and today it is still the most common form of instruction. A study by Doucet et al (1998) reported that in the lecture format learners are passive recipients of knowledge in an externally driven process. Traditional lecture method of teaching is teacher centered and students are passive listeners only, where as in modern methods of teaching students are involved in all activities, organized and supervised by the teacher. Peek, Winking and Peek (1995) state that the traditional lecture technique is preferred by many lecturers because it may be perceived as a strategy for establishing and maintaining order in the class and serves as safety net for new teachers who may be unfamiliar with using other methods. The traditional lecture is a more effective way of teaching when a large quantity of information is to be disseminated Miller (2003) and Peek et al (1995). The development of appropriate teaching material for use in the traditional lecture format (Cardoso et al 2009) could have helped the teacher keep the attention of students. One important difference between a victorious teacher and ineffective teacher is the methods and materials they use in creating interest of their students in their subject. Powell and Kalina (2009) report that the social constructivist theory, involving individual and cognitive constructivism, is a highly effective method of teaching which all students can benefit from since collaboration and social interaction are incorporated.
In the social constructivist classroom there are different approaches such as inquiry, discussion, problem solving, conversation, debate, and cooperative learning. It may be concluded that guided, unguided, and combination scientific inquiry method of teaching is significantly better than traditional lecture method of teaching for the subject of physics. The following recommendations seem to be reasonable and approachable:

- The research indicated that scientific inquiry method of teaching for the subject of physics is statistically significant than lecture method for teaching physics. It is therefore recommended that teacher education programs may emphasize inquiry teaching method and in-service teachers should be provided training or refresher course to enable them to use scientific inquiry teaching methods in classroom.
- This research may be replicate for other science and arts subjects.
- This study may be replicate by including gender variable.
- This study may be replicate by including location variable.
- More Researches are recommended to compare demonstrate and scientific inquiry methods of teaching physics.
- Seminars and conferences may also be organized to disseminate the findings of this research.
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