# Educated Nigerian English Phonology as Core of a Regional "RP"

## **OLAJIDE, Stephen Billy, PhD**

Department of Arts and Social Sciences Education Faculty of Education, University of Ilorin Ilorin, Nigeria.

### OLANIYI, Oladimeji Kaseem, PhD

Department of English Kwara State University Malete, Kwara State Nigeria.

#### **Abstract**

The task of codification and elaboration of the educated varieties of Nigerian English are the ones in current contention among scholars of Nigerian English. Pronunciation issues have however been the bane of Standard Englishes all over the world. While most non-native speakers of English have been able to cross the hurdle of learning the syntax and semantics of Standard English, a recurrent issue in academic discussions has been their inability to approximate the native-like accentual competence. In this paper we have presented submissions of scholars on Nigerian English in comparison with other regional varieties of English belonging to the inner circle. The quality of phonemes in terms of closeness or distance from the prestige, Standard British English, RP has been examined. Considering the close approximation of the phonemic inventory of the Educated Nigerian English model to that of the standard British English, we have found that very few Educated Nigerians use English language effectively. This assessment is made from the perception of how these few Nigerians (i.e. broadcasters) articulate fricatives, affricates, stops or plosives, continuants and central vowels, correctly. The Nigerian RP spoken by this very few 'educated' people should qualify to be referred to as a regional RP

#### 1. Introduction

The impulses that inform the characteristics of Nigerian English include the Syntax, Phonology, Semantics, Pragmatics, and Sociolinguistics. This study is phonological as well as sociolinguistic. The phonology will highlight the phonemic inventories that identify Nigerian English as Nigerian, while the Sociolinguistics will explain why those features identify Nigerians. On the whole, the paper will explain the link between Nigerian English phonology and its Sociolinguistics.

Before we begin to trace the literature of Nigerian English from Tiffen (1974) to date, it may be pertinent we give a brief background to this study. Apart from foregrounding the features that identify Basic Nigerian English (see Jibril 1982), this paper will rename the Educated Nigerian English in a rather prestigious pedestal as a variation of the Standard British English, and not as a deviation. English has been not only an international language but second, amalgam and additional language (see Cruttenden 2008:77).

Pronunciation issues have been the bane of Standard Englishes all over the world. While most non-native speakers of English have been able to cross the hurdle of learning the syntax and semantics of Standard English, a recurrent issue in academic discussions has been their inability to approximate the native-like accentual competence. Thus, non-native English speakers articulate the language segmentally and suprasegmentally.

In a recent publication of Gimson's pronunciation of English revised by Cruttenden (2008), a number of issues that will strengthen the course we intend to champion in this paper are highlighted below:

- a. that RP started as the accent of the court
- b. that the BBC favoured the RP

- c. that RP continues to be diluted
- d. that greater social and regional variation are now permitted within RP
- e. that other accents have become acceptable in broadcasting
- that the need to set up R.P as a monolithic standard has weakened f.
- that there are now variations within RP

Consequent upon the factors above, the English English is observed to be giving way to Regional RPs'. Such regional RPs' include those...belonging to the expanding and outer circles and not belonging to the 'new' inner circle English English (see Kachru 1997:213). The new inner circle group includes the United States of America (USA), United Kingdom (UK), Canada, Australia and New Zealand. Canada has replaced South Africa in that group. The exclusion of South Africa is as a result of the loss of the nativeness in the speech of real South Africans as opposed to the Apartheid period of white domination in the country.

The purpose of this paper is to cautiously reposition the outer circle group in a prestigious pedestal, against the stigmatized standards with which they are described. Nigeria, for instance, as the case study in this paper, belongs to the outer circle. Other nations in this group include Bangledish, Kenya, Pakistan, South Africa, Zambia, Ghana, Malaysia, Philippines, Sri-Lanka, Zimbabwe, India, Singapore, Uganda and Tanzania. In these countries English is spoken as a second, additional and international language (cf. Cruttenden 2008:317). The name given to the kind of English, i.e., Standard Nigerian English, is referred to as Amalgam English according to Cruttenden (ibid). Thus, the variety of English believed to be internationally acceptable and intelligible according to Brosnahan (1958), followed up in Banjo (1971) as variety III Nigerian English.

### 2.0 Defining Nigerian English as Regional RP

Diverse opinions abound on whether Nigerian Standard English exists or not. Jowitt (2008) is reported by Adegbite (2010:14) to have described the diversity of positions in terms of "left", "right" and centre in respect of the attitude of scholars to tolerance of learners' errors. The left, towing the line of Kachru (1982) and represented by scholars like Adetugbo (1979a) and Odumuh (1987) who assert that a standard Nigerian English exists and has a right to exist; that it is used by educated people, and has begun to find expression in creative writing and that it can be an expression of national identity. The "right" are reported to have towed the line of Prator (1968), who maintained the position that the distinctive usage identified in Nigerian English cannot be regarded as standard, because the standard form of a language is one that is generally both acceptable and used by the educated section of the community. The lack of standard is evident in the numerous errors observed in the usage and the lack of institutionalization, in the absence of any dictionary embodying its usage (cf. Salami 1968, Adesanoye 1973).

The "centre" position is reported to be the consensus among scholars of Nigerian English in recent times. Those who belong there hold that a standard form exists in the ontological sense in the usage of educated Nigerians, though the features are yet to be codified (see Grieve 1966, Banjo 1971, Bamgbose 1982, Jibril 1986, Adegbija 2004)

On a realistic note, the argument as to whether a standard, international, or Amalgam Nigerian English exists seems awkward at this time. Rather, efforts from scholars should be geered towards defining the demography, authorities (i.e. speakers), geography, codification norm and acceptance of standard Nigerian English (nationally and internationally). A standard Nigerian English variety thus exists and has gained wider recognition in academic circles. That suggests why Bamgbose (1998:4) claims that its codification and acceptability are the most important requirements.

Other scholars who are Pro-British 'Received Pronunciation' (RP) include Atoye (1987), Amayo (1988), while the scholars who are anti- RP include Eka (1985), Adetugbo (1987), Dairo (1988) among others. On several occasions, the educated form of English spoken in Nigeria, especially in very formal contexts and by the national news broadcasters have been described as the Educated Nigerian English (ENE) by Olaniyi (2010), Standard Nigerian English (SNE) by Jibril (1982), Eka (1985), and Jowitt (1991, 1996, 2006). The point we intend to emphasise here is that the term RP is no longer an exclusive term to refer to the Standard British English.

### 3.0 ENE as International, Amalgam Received Pronunciation

To describe ENE as a Received Pronunciation of a sort will definitely raise arguments and reactions from scholars, researchers and linguists generally.

However the basis for this proposition is a cue taken from Cruttenden (2008:83ff) about the existence of Regional RPs on the one hand and the submissions from the literatures on Nigerian English from Tiffen (1974) to date. A Regional RP in the words of Cruttenden (2008:89) is an "acrolectal variety which approaches RP and can be regarded as a type of Regional R.P"

Cruttenden (2008: ibid) looks at some Englishes "set within the basic framework of RP". He identifies first six systems within the inner circle. They include: General American, Standard Scottish English, Northern (England) English, (Broad) London English, Australian English and Caribbean English. According to Cruttenden (ibid) the inner circle qualifies to be described as speakers of the regional forms of RP. He goes further to exemplify some systemic differences between the British RP and the General America. According to him, GA lacks the RP diphthongs /Iə, eə, və/ which correspond in GA to sequences of short vowel plus /r/, e.g beard, fare, dour, bird, fer, dur. The diphthongal examples reflect the allied distributional differences between RP and GA, namely that unlike in RP where /r/ occurs only before vowels, GA /r/ occurs only before consonants and before pause (GA is rhotic and RP non-rhotic)

#### 3.1 General American English (GA)

General America has no /p/. Most commonly those vowels which have /p in RP are pronounced with /a: / in GA, e.g., cod, spot, pocket, bottle. But a limited subset has /D: /, e.g. across, gone, often, cough, orange, porridge, etc. The major disparities are illustrated below:

SBE	GA
$\mathfrak{v}$	a:
a:	æ
$\mathfrak{v}$	O: (in context before a voiceless fricative
O:	o: or nasal followed by consonant)

Allied to the pronunciation of r/ in preconsonantal positions there is considerable alignment of vowels before r/, so that r/ and r/ and r/ and r/ and r/ as shown above.

#### 3.2 Scottish English (SE)

In Scottish English (SSE), there are three dialects: Gaelic, Scots and Scottish English. Cruttenden (2008:85) reports that old English spread into the south and east of Scotland at much the same time as it spreads through England and has continued in use as present day Scots. The major differences in phonemes between the SBE and SSE are listed below

SBE	SSE
a:	æ
u:	σ
Э:	$\mathfrak{v}$
Iə	X
e3	X
σə	X
θΩ	o:

SSE has no /Iə, eə, və/ because like GA, it is rhotic and beard, fare, an dour are pronounced as /bi:rd/, /feir/as /fe:л/ and /du:r/ as /dy: л/. The SSE also shares similar features with GA but today, rhoticity in SSE is declining drastically (Cruttenden ibid).

#### 3.3 London English (LE)

Cockney is said to be the basilectal speech of London (see Cruttenden 2008:86). Unlike GA and Standard Scottish English, Cockney is as much a class dialect as a regional one. In its broadest form, the dialect of Cockney includes a considerable vocabulary of its own, including rhyming slang. But the characteristics of Cockney pronunciation are spread more widely through the working class of London than is its vocabulary. The London pronunciation thus differs from the SBE in the following instances.

SBE All vowel phonemes	London English Same as SBE
i:	ЭI
u:	ÐÜ
Э:	Ov/ Owa
eI	aI
aI	αI
ອບ	æu
aυ	a:

In examples such as late, /leIt/, light, /la:t/, load, /l æud /, loud, /la:d/, e.t.c we have the examples above among many several others.

#### **Australian English** 3.4

Similar differences in pronunciation of phonemes exist in RPs such as Australian English (AUSE). Cruttenden (2008: 85) records that there is little regional variation in AUSE. The variation according to him, are largely correlated with social class and ranging from a broad accent all the way up to Regional RP. AUSE shares many features with basilectal London English but with particular combination of other features which identify it. The use of identity here presupposes that articulatory traits can identify a dialect and his speakers. Few differences between the SBE and the Australian English are listed below:

SBE	AUSE
αI	a:
æ	a:
i:	u:
Ie	Ie

#### 3.5 Caribbean English

The last Regional RP to be discussed in this paper is the Caribbean English. In most Caribbean islands such as Jamaica, Trinidad (including Tobago) and Barbados, together with Guyana, English is spoken as first language (Cruttenden 2008:89). The identifying features of the two RPs are listed below:

SBE	CarbE
eI	aI
aI	αI
ອບ	au
Iə	i: clear - /kli:/
еә	E: fare /fE:/
ບອ	O: sure/su:ə/

The front short vowels are all closer than RP. The distance between /æ, e, I/ being reduced.

e	æ
Iə	eə /eæ /e.g. 'bear'- /beæ /
old forms of Oə	Oæ /sure / - /∫Oæ/
consonants o	t
ð	d

Caribbean English vowel system is like that of RP rather than that of General American. Like in Nigerian English, /ə/ is absent in Caribbean English. The vowel is being replaced by /æ/ in words such as father - /fa:væ/, 'woman'-/womæn/, etc. Among consonants the most obvious characteristics are the absence of / o, ð / replaced by /t,d/ as in 'thin', 'then' as /tin, den/.

From the stories which we have told so far about inner circle Englishes apart from SBE, it is clear that no single variety of English is superior to other varieties. We shall however comparatively exemplify the vowel and consonant systems of Educated Nigerian English in order that we may have a basis to conclude in this paper that Educated Nigerian English is a regional RP.

#### 3.6 Nigerian English

Researchers have investigated into all the interference features from the native languagesin Nigeria and have described what they referred to as coalesce phonemes. These phonemes have traits of L1 sounds transferred into English. The consonants of ENE and SBE are juxtaposed in the table below.

SBE	p	b	t	d	k	g	f	V	θ	ð	S	Z	ſ	3	ţſ	dз	m	n	ŋ	1	r	W	j
SNE	p	b	t	d	k	g	f	v	θ	ð	S	Z	ſ	3	ʧ	ďЗ	m	n	ŋ	1	r	W	j
NE	р	b	ţ	d	k	g	f	f,p	t	d	S	Ş	Ş	ſ	ŝ	dз	m	n	n,m	1	r	W	j

Table 1. A Comparison of the SNE, NE and SBE consonant Phonemes

(see Christopherson (1956), Eka (1985:134), Jibril (1982:78), Eka and Udofot (1996); Udofot (2004) among others).

The RP phonemes listed in table 1 above and their corresponding sounds in Nigerian English are observable in the speech of Nigerians who have acquired considerable level of education, exposure, motivation and training in English language (see Eka 1985:10-11). To further buttress our point, the table below presents different models of the RP phonemes and their alternatives in NE

SBE	Jim		Emi		Om		Jom		Adm		Awr	n	Un	n	Psne	ec**
	b	v/a	b	v/a	b	v/a	b	v/a	b	v/a	b	v/a	b	v/a	b	v/a
p	p	f	p	f,ph	p	f	ph	f	ph	-	p	-	-	-	p	Ph
b	b	V	b	b	b		b	V	b	-	b	-	-	-	b	V
t	t	-	t	t,th	t	th	t	-	th	-	t	-	-	t	t	Th
d	d	-	d	d,d	d		d	-	d	-	d	-	-	-	d	
k	k	-	k	k,kh	k	kh	k	-	kh	-	k	-	-	-	k	Kh
g	g	-	g	-	g	1	g	-	g	-	g	-	-	-	g	
f	f	-	f	-	f	-	f	p	f	-	f	-	-	-	f	P
V	V	f	v	v,f	V	-	V	f	v	f	V	-	V	f	V	F
θ	θ	t,d,s	θ	θ,t	θ	t,s	t,s	S	θ	t,s	t	θ	t	t,s	t	s,θ
ð	ð	t,d,z	ð	t,ðo	ð	d,z	t,d	Z	ð	d,z	d,t	ð	d	d,z	d	z,ð
S	S	-	S	s,z	s	ı	S	-	S	-	S	Z	-		S	
Z	Z	S	Z	z,s	Z	ı	Z	S	Z	S	Z	S	Z	S	Z	S
$\int$	$\int$	3	$\int$	-	$\int$	ı	$\int$	S	$\int$	∫,s	$\int$	-	ſ	S	$\int$	S
3	3	dз	3	3√	3	ı	3	z,d,ſ,s	3	-	X	3	-	-	3	s,ſ
h	h		h	-	h	-	X	-	X	-	h		-	-	h	
<b>f</b>	ţſ	$\int$	ʧ		ť	-	ʧ	ſ	ţſ	ſ	ţſ	$\int$	ŧſ	ſ	ʧ	$\int$
ďЗ	dз	j	ďз	d₃,ʧ	ďЗ	-	ďЗ	j,z	ďЗ	-	ďз	-	-	j	dз	J
m	m		m	-	m	-	m	-	m	-	m	-	-	-	m	
n	n	ŋ,m	n	-	n	-	n	-	n		n	-	-	-	n	
ŋ	ŋ	n	ŋ	ŋ,g,n	ŋ	-	ŋ	n,ng	ŋ	-	ŋ	ŋ,g,n,nk	-	`-	ŋ	ng,nk
1	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	ι	1	-	1	ι	-	-	1	L
r	r	r	r	-	r	-	r	-	r	-	r	-	-	-	r	R
j	j	j	j	-	j	-	j	-	j	-	j	-	-	-	j	J
W	W	W	W	W	W	-	W	W	W	-	W	-	-	-	W	W

Table 2. Researchers models of the consonants in SBE and alternatives in NE (Ubong 2009:159)

	CM	EK	СМэ	J	IM	E	MI	C	M	JC	)M	EUM	UM	BN	1	E	M2	AV	VM	ADM	BOM	PSNEM*
		B*	V/A	В	V/A	В	V/A	В	V/A	В	V/A	В	В	В		В	V/A	В	V/A	В	В	
i:	i	i	-	i:	I	i:	i:,i	i:	i	i		i	i	i		i	i	i	i:,I	i	i	i
I	i	i	I	I		i	I	i	i	I	I	i	i	i		i	I	i	I	X	i	i
e	ε	e:	-	ε	e:	e	-	e	ε:,i,ə													
æ	a	æ	-	A	æ,e:ε	a	a,æ	a	æ	a	a:	a	a	a		a	æ	a	Æ	X	a	a
a:	a	a	-	a:	ə	a:	a:,a	a:	a	a	a:	a	a	a		a	a:	a	-	a	a	a,a:,a'
b	Э	b	-	Э	ə:,ə	b	υ,Λ	v,o	Λ	3,0	o,a	Э	X	Э		Э	υ	Э	v	X	Э	ວ,ນ:,ວ:
ວ:	0	o:	-	Э	<b>ɔ</b> :	p:	p:	p:		0	0.0:	Э	3	Э		3'	ວ'	p,o	o,u	э	э	Э
υ	U	u	,σω	U	ω	u	u,o	u	u,o	u,o	u:	u	X	u		Λ	σ	σ,u	o,u	X	u	u
u:	U	u	-	U	u:,ω	u:	u:,u	u:	u'	u,u	u:	u	u	u		u'	u:	u:	-	u	u	u,u:
Λ	X	Λ	-	X	-	ε:,τ	-	X	b	a,ɔ	u	Э	э,ә	Э		v	Λ	p,o	Λ	Э	э	a,ɔ,ɒ
3:	X	b	-	X	-	Э	ε:,e	ε:,e	3:	a:,5	e,a	ε:	X	ɔ:,e		3'	3:	ε	-	e,v,e	-	ε:,၁,e,ε
			-	•	•			•		•			•								•	
Э	X	a	-	X	-	eI	ә ә	ä.	n: a	,e 3	0,0	a a,	e a		ä	Э	p,o	Э	n,e.	6,3	a,ε,i,ə,u	a,ə,e,ə

Table 3. Researchers models of Monophthongs and their Alternatives in Nigerian English (see Ubong 2009:160)

(RP)	CM	J	M	EN	<b>M</b> 1	ON	Л	JO	M	Е	UM	BM	EM2	A	WN	M	ADI	M BON	M	UM	EKN	I PSNED*
		B*	V/A	В	V/A	В	V/A	В	V/A	В	V/A	V/A	В	В	J/A	В	В	В	В	В	V/A	
ei	Х	X	ei,e	ei	e:,ei	ei	e:,	e,e	ai	X	-	-	e	X	ei	ei	e	-	e	X	-	e,e:
ai	ai	ai	-	ai		ai	ai	ai		ai		-	ai	ai	-	ai	ai	-	ai	ai	-	ai
эi	эi	эi	-	υi		υi		эi	oi	эi		-	oi	υi	ı	эi	οi	-	X	эi	-	oi,oI
əu	X	X	eu	ou	o:,əu	au		au		au	-	au	-	au		ao	О	Э	X	X	-	o,ou
au	au	au	ı	au		au		au		au		au	-	au		au	ao	-	X	au	1	au,ao
iə	ia	iə	ı	iə	ia,Ip	iə	:,iə,ia	ia	ea,ea,ea	ie	-	ie,ia	iə	-		ia	ia	-	X	Iə	1	Ie,ia,iə
63	ia	еэ	e:	eI	ε:,eə	X	εə,e:	Ιə		ε:	-	e:	X	-	X	εэ	aε	э	X	X	eз	e:,ɛ:,ɛə
uə	ua	$\omega \mathfrak{s}$	-	up,ua	v:,ua,	up,ua	uə	ua,oa	up,ua	ວ:		uo	ua,up			Э	uə	ວ,u,ɒ,uວ	3	X	uə,ωə	up,ua,uɔ
					uə																	

### **TRIPHTHONG**

aiə	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	aja	X	aja	X	X	X	X	X		awa	X	X	X
auə	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	awa	X	awa	X	X	X	X	X	X	aja	X	X	X
οIe	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
əuə	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Table 4. Researchers models of Diphthongs and Triphthongs and their Alternatives in Nigerian **English** (see Ubong 2009:161)

#### Note:

British English (RP)

JOM- Jowitt's (1991)

EKM- Ekong's (1978)

JIM- Jibril's (1982) EM1-Eka's (1985)

EUM- Eka & Udofot's (1996)

OM- Odumuh's (1987)

CM- Christopherson's (1954)

UM- Udofot's (2004)

BM- Banjo's (1995)

EM2-Eka's (2004)

AWM- Awonusi's (2004)

ADM- Adetugbo's (2004)

BOM—Bobda's (1995)

BAM-Bamgbose's (1995)

PSNEM\*\*-Possible Standard Nigerian English Monophthongs

PSNEC\*\*- Possible Standard Nigerian English Consonants

PSNED\*\*- Possible Standard Nigerian English Diphthongs

x- No equivalent phoneme

B- Basic phonemes in ENE

V/A- Variants or Alternants

\*- All phonetic entries represented on the table are understood to be enclosed in square brackets [], while the phonemes of SBE are expected to be enclosed in slanting lines //

We have attempted in this study to show the prevalent monophthongs and diphthongs of Nigerian English, in the three tables above. Bobda, 1995; Banjo, 1996; Udofot 2004,

From the foregoing illustrations, we can begin to assess the distance or closeness between Nigerian English and the Standard British English.

# 4.0 Nigerian English approximation to Standard British English

The approach to be employed in this section is implicational. In other words we shall explain how the phonological features of Nigerian varieties of English on the one hand are noticed in the speech of Educated Nigerian Speakers of English before explaining how close or distant in terms of approximation to RP ENE is. Educated Nigerian varieties of English differ only in the major points of divergence. These divergent features are to be considered as the regional variants of the Standard British English and not deviant forms (see Cruttenden 2008:75) The table below further explains the differences between the regional varieties.

Typology	Convergence	Divergence	Coalescence
Hausa	All R.P. Phonemes	/f/, /p/, /θ/, /ð/, /ɒ/, /əʊ/,	
		/3: /	Diphthongs starting or ending in
Igbo	All R.P. Phonemes	/eI/, /Iə/, /εə/, /υə/, /l, r/	the short, mid central vowel /ə/.
Yoruba	All R.P.Phonemes	/eI/, /13/, /ε3/, /03/, /1, f/ /f, v/, /ʃn/, /ʒn/, /3:/, /ə/, /ð/, /θ/, /υə/, and other diphthongs	the short, mid central vowel /a/.  /θ/-/t/, /ð/-/d/, /ʒ/- /ş, /j/- /u/, /h/- /silence/,etc.  Vowel intrusion in cases of consonant clusters, i.e., pipul for pi:pl, edukeIʃɔn for /edjʊkeIʃn/, etc.  All long vowels rendered in their reduced quality, e.g., /a: /- /a/, /p/- /əʊ/ overlap in words such as 'holy', /holi/ for /həʊll/, etc.  Mid vowels /ə/, /ʌ/ and /ɜ: / are rendered /ɛ/, /a/, /ɔ/ in differing word contexts  While vocalic systems are reduced but for tense vowels they
			are lengthened in ENE and vice versa.

**Table 5: Prototype Educated Nigerian English phonemes** 

The features that mark ENE are the various *shibboleths*. The shibboleths (i.e. the problematic phonemes in terms of articulation) in ENE identify Nigerian varieties of English. Two categories of phonemes present themselves as 'convergence' and 'divergence' in the Standard English inventory. Where Nigerians experience no difficulty in attaining the almost target language proficiency in English, it is described as a case of 'convergence'. On the other hand, where they experience difficulty or produce affected forms of the L2, it is described as a case of 'divergence'.

The ethnic variables that identify Nigerian English do so because they (the variables) can be called 'stereotypes'. A small number of sociolinguistic markers rise as a result of constant and habitual use to become stereotypes (cf. Giglioli 1972:292). Thus, phonemic markers of identity such as z, the voiced alveolar fricative instead of  $\theta$ , the voiceless interdental fricative, /f, v/, voiceless and voiced labiodental fricatives instead of the /p, b/, voiceless and voiced bilabial plosive phonemes that identify Educated Hausas when they speak few words of English are stereotypes of their 'back lashing'.

Whereas the Hausas have difficulty in articulating those fricatives, mentioned above, the Yorubas do not, but have their own difficult sounds that mark them sociolinguistically as stereotypes. Such include the articulation of the voiceless glottal fricative, /h/ and the misplacement of /f/ for /v/and /s/ for /ʃ/ in speech contexts. The Igbos are identified when they produce /e/, the mid short front vowel instead of /eI/, a diphthong and /l/ the voiced alveolar liquid, instead of /r/, the post alveolar frictionless continuant consonant. The different ethnic variables in Nigerian English, described by Giglioli (1972) as sociolinguistic variables have risen to overt consciousness among Nigerians, and linguists and so have not only earned NE a stereotype non-native World English but has remained the means of ethnic identification in speech.

### 5.0 Implications for a Phono-Sociolinguistic Study

Some phonological areas of convergence and divergence would seem to have been revealed as points of cognitive strength and weaknesses among Nigerians in the course of attempting to approximate the native-like standard of English, phonologically. To forestall reactions such as would inquire to know what aspect of phonology we have focused in this research; it may be necessary to clarify that this study is segmental with partial exclusion of allophones or diaphones. Moreover, the study is not intended to theoretically investigate, allophonic variations, assimilatory processes, stress or intonation assignment, vowel weakening or lengthening, spirantization or other possible fertile grounds that are begging for research in the second language phonology of English in Nigeria. We have simply carried out a Sociolinguistic study of the phonological variations that exist in Educated Spoken Nigerian English.

Sociolinguistic studies however have revealed that linguistic and social variables co-occur. The pattern of variation is neither fixed nor universal. But, it is a product of the nature of social organizations in the Nigerian society, under study; the manner in which the relevant language is acquired and the historical as well as other contextual variables of its diffusion and use. Our methodology has been to examine L2 performance and competence of 'Educated' Nigerians in formal settings. Our choice was to ensure consistency in style, so that duplication of styles will not affect our results in the study.

For pedagogical reasons however, the cultural context of the pupils or students must be taken into account in teaching Nigerian students a model of English pronunciation. A teacher of English must identify the areas of divergence or cognitively impelled deficiency peculiar to the ethnic groups of his pupils, so as to comparatively make them see the L1 and L2 forms. The teacher would assist the students to learn by identifying and producing the correct R.P forms, since international intelligibility is the target.

A teacher of English in the twenty first century must perfect the four language skills – writing and speaking, as well as listening and reading - before being certified to practise. This will enable such a teacher to teach the subject effectively using the necessary computer software to demonstrate his complete literacy.

### References

- Abercrombie, D. 1965. Studies in Phonetics and Linguistics. London. OUP
- Adegbite, W. 2010. English Language Usage, Uses and Misuses in a Non-Host Second Language Context, Nigeria. Inaugural Lecture Series 231. Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife
- Adegbija, E. 1999. "Nigerian Englishes: Toward a Standard Variety". Paper presented at the staff Seminar Series of the Department of Modern European Language, University of Ilorin, Nigeria.
- Adegbija, E. 2004. "The Language situation in Nigeria and a Tentative Language Register". In Adegbija, E. *Multilingualism: A Nigerian Case Study*. Eritrea: Africa World Press Line P. 37.
- Adeniran, A. 1979. "Nigerian Elite English as a Model of Nigerian English English". *Journal of Nigeria English Studies Association*, 6(1) 15-23
- Adesanoye, F.A. 1973. A study of Varieties of Written English in Nigeria. An unpublished Ph.D Thesis, University of Ibadan. Pp 1-271
- Adesanoye, F. 1980. "Patterns of Deviation, in written English in Nigeria". *Journal of Language Arts and Communication* Vol. 1, pp. 53-65.
- Adetugbo, A. 1987. Nigerian English Phonology: Is there any standard? *Lagos Review of English studies* Vol. Ix, 64-84 Akinjobi, A. 2002. "Nigerian English or Standard English Supra-segmentals: The question of what variety to teach" in Babatunde, S & D. Adeyanju. *Language Meaning and Society*. Ilorin: Hatee Press. 30-50
- Amayo, A. 1988. The case for RP as the appropriate model for teaching English pronunciation. *Ife Studies in English Language*2:1,71-74
- Atoye, R.O 1987. The case for RP as the appropriate model for teaching English pronunciation. *Ife Studies in English Language 1 & 2:1,-63-70*
- Awobuluyi, O. 1978. Essentials of Yoruba Grammar. Ibadan: Oxford University Press Nigeria.
- Awonusi. V. 2004. "RP and the Sociolinguistic realities of non-native English accents". In Owolabi, K & A. Dasylva (eds.) Forms and Functions of English and Indigenous Languages in Nigeria. Ibadan: Group Publication. 55-63
- Ayodele, S.O. 1984. "An Investigation into the Spoken English Performance of Nigerian Radio Broadcaster" *Journal of the Nigeria English Studies Association*. Vol 1. & 2.67-86
- Bamgbose, A. 1971. "The English language in Nigeria". In Spencer (ed.) 35-48
- Bamgbose, A. 1982. "Standard Nigerian English: Issues of Identification". In Kachru (ed.) 91-111
- Bamgbose, A. 1995. "English in the Nigerian Environment in A. Bamgbose A. Banjo & Thomas (eds.) *New Englishes*. Ibadan. The British Council. 9-26
- Bamgbose, A. 1998. Torn between the norms: innovations in World Englishes. World Englishes 17:1-14
- Banjo 1969. "The Standard Nigerian Spoken English" *Proceedings of the Eighth Congress of the West African Linguistic Society*, Abidjan.
- Banjo, A. 1970. "The English Language and the Nigerian environment". *Journal of Nigeria English Studies Association*. Vol. 4 (1) 45-51
- Banjo, A, 1971a. "Towards a Definition of Standard Nigerian Spoken English". Annales de 'Universite d' Abidjan, 24-28.
- Banjo, A. 1976. "The University and the Standardization of the English Language in Nigeria". "West Africa Journal of Modern European Languages, Vol. 1. 93-98
- Banjo, A. 1979: "Toward a Definition of Standard Nigerian Spoken English" Actusndun 8 Congress de Society Linguistique de Africa Occidentials Abidjan.
- Banjo, A. 1982. "English Language Studies in a Multilingual Setting in Nigeria". Text of lecture delivered at the University of Pennsylvania, U.S.A, Vol. 29 1-16
- Bobda, S. A. 1995. "The Phonology of Nigerian English and Camerounian English", in Bamgbose, A., Banjo, A., and Thomas, A., (eds.) New Englishes. A West African Perspective, Ibadan: Mosuro.

  Brosnahan, L. 1958. "English in Southern Nigeria", English Studies 39 97-110
  - Christopherson, P. 1956. An English Course in Phonetics.
- Crutenden, A. 1986. *Intonation*, NY: Cambridge University Press
- Cruttenden, A. 2008. Gimson's Pronunciation of English. London: Hodder Education
- Dunstan, C. (ed.) 1969. Twelve Nigerian Languages: A Handbook of their Sound Systems for Teaching for Teachers of English, London: Longman
- Egbe, D. 1979. "Spoken and Written English in Nigeria". In Ubahakwe, E (ed.) Varieties and Functions of English in Nigeria. Pp. 10-11

- Eka, D. 1993. "Towards an analysis of a Model of Educated Spoken Nigerian English". Paper presented during the third annual international conference on African Literature and the English Language, University of Calabar, May 3-7, 1983 1-18
- Eka, D. 1985. A Phonological Study of Standard Nigerian English. Unpublished Ph.D Dissertation. Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria pp.10-11
- Eka, D. 2000. Issues in Nigerian English Usage. Uyo: Scholars Press Ltd.
- Ekong, P. 1980. "Investigating into the intelligibility of a possible standard model for Nigerian Spoken English". In Unoh, S.O. (ed.). Journal of Language Arts and Communication, V (1) 1-11.
- Grieve, D. G. 1966. English Language Examining. Lagos: West African Examinations Council
- Jibril, M. 1982. Phonological Variations in Nigerian English, Unpublished Ph.D Dissertation, Lancaster University.
- Jibril, M. 1986a. Phonological Variation in Nigerian English, In Ubahakwe, E. (ed.). Varieties and Functions of English in Nigeria, 43-53.
- Jibril, M. 1986b. Sociolinguistic Variation in Nigerian English. English Worldwide. 7. 47-75
- Jones D. 1962. The Phoneme: Its Nature and Use (Revised Edition). W. Heffer and Sons Ltd. Cambridge, 12-68
- Jones, D. 1969. The pronunciation of English. Cambridge University Press. 3-22.
- Jowitt, D. 1991. Nigerian English Usage. An Introduction. Ibadan: Longman
- Jowitt, D. 2000. "Patterns of Nigerian English Intonation". English Worldwide 21:1, 63-80
- Jowitt, D. 2006 "Standard Nigerian English: A Re-examination" Journal of the Nigerian English Studies Association Vol. 3. 1-18
- Kachru, B.B. 1982. Models for non-native Englishes in: Kachru, B.B. (ed). The other tongue .31-57.
- Kachru, B.B. 1997. World Englishes and English-using Communities. Annual Review of Applied Linguistics: Multilingualism. 17, 213
- Kristiansen, Gitte. 2003. "How to do things with allophones: Linguistic Stereotypes as Cognitive reference points in social cognition". In Dirven, René, Frank, Roslyn & Pütz, Martin (eds.), Cognitive Models in Language Thought: Ideology, Metaphors and Meanings. Berlin: The Hague.
- Kujore, O. 1985. English Usage: Some Notable Nigerian Variations. Ibadan: Evans Brothers
- Labov, W. 1966. The Social stratification of English in New York City. Arlington, V.A: Centre for Applied Linguistics
- Labov, W. 1970. "The Study of Language and its Social Contexts". In J.P. Pride and J. Holmes, (eds.) Sociolinguistics.180-202
- Labov, W. 1972. The Study of Language in its Social Contexts. In Giglioli. I (ed). Hammondsworth, Middx: Penguin.
- Labov, W. 1972a. Sociolinguistic Patterns. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press
- Labov, W. 1972b. Language in the Inner City. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press
- Labov, W. 1966. The Social Stratification of English in New York City. Arlington, V.A.:centre for Applied Linguistics.
- Laboy, W. 1972a. Sociolinguistic Patterns, Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press
- Laboy, W. 1972b. Language in the Inner City. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press
- Ladefoged, P.1975. A course in phonetics. Harcourt Bruce Jovanovich, Inc New York: 1-296.
- Ladefoged, 1975. A Phonetic Study of West Africa Languages. Cambridge: CUP.
- Ladefoged, P. 1982. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) A Course in Phonetics. London: Oxford University Press
- Odumuh, A.E. 1983. "Aspects of the Syntax and Semantics of Educated Nigerian English". Ph.D Thesis, ABU, Zaria.
- Odumuh, A. 1987. Nigerian English. Zaria: Ahmadu Bello University Press
- Olaniyi, O. 2005. A Systemic Phonological Study of Nigerian English. Unpublished M.A. Thesis. English Department. University of Ilorin. p.78
- Prator, C. 1968. The British Heresy in TESL. In Fishman, J. Ferguson, C.A and Das Gupta, J. (eds.) Language Problems of Developing Nations. New York: Wiley
- Roach, P. 1991. English Phonetics and Phonology: A Practical Course. 2nd edn. London: Longman
- Tiffen, B. 1974. The Intelligibility of Nigerian English, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Ibadan
- Ubong, J. 2009. a Synchronic Analysis of Assimilatory Processes in Educated Spoken Nigerian English. A Ph.D Thesis in the Department of English, University of Ilorin, Ilorin
- Udofot, I. 2004. Stress and Rhythm in the Nigerian Accent of English. A Preliminary Investigation. English Worldwide 24(2), 201-220
- Udofot, I. 2007. "A Tonal Analysis of Standard Nigerian English". In Udofot, I. (ed.) Journal of the Nigerian English Studies Association. Vol.3 No1pp.58-68
- Ulrike, G.2009. "The Prosody of Nigerian English" http://www.spectrum.unibielefeld.de/TAPS/Gut.html