# Costing Techniques and Pricing Decisions of Manufacturing Companies in Ogun State

# Babatolu Ayorinde Tobi

Department of Accounting Federal University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Ogun State Nigeria

# Aigienohuwa Osarenren Osasrere

Department of Accountancy Nnamdi Azikwe University Awka Nigeria

Adewumi Ademola Adeniran Department of Accounting Federal University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Ogun State Nigeria

# Abstract

In recent years, companies have drastically reduced their reliance on traditional accounting technique by developing activity-based and target cost management systems due to the facts that Traditional costing techniques do not provide detailed information to managers to make cost effective decisions. The study population comprised Ninety-eight (98) manufacturing companies with a sample size of Twenty-two (22) selected manufacturing companies using convenience sampling technique. A structured questionnaire was used to elicit information on relevant variables from respondents The data analysis techniques used for the study were Kolmogorov Simonov test and kruskal-Wallis test through the aid of Statistical Package for social sciences(SPSS 21.0). The findings of the study revealed that there was significant difference in the use of Target costing technique for pricing decision (p > 0.05). This study concluded that there was strong consensus on the wide use of Activity based costing and target costing decision. The study recommended that the use of costing technique could also be extended to other sectors of Nigerian economy aside the Manufacturing sector.

Key words: Activity based costing, target cost, pricing decision and Manufacturing companies.

# 1 Introduction

Traditional costing techniques do not provide detailed information to managers; they can lead to erroneous decision making by ignoring the fact that complex products consume more resources than simply structured products. In recent years, companies have drastically reduced their reliance on traditional costing technique by developing activity-based and target cost management systems. Traditional costing technique of assigning costs can be very inaccurate because there is no actual relationship between the cost pool and the cost driver (Nitin and Dalgobind, 2013). Considering the numerous factors influencing pricing decision in manufacturing companies, cost is considered the basic factor which can be managed efficiently by the business. In lieu of this, accurate cost information is seen to be the basis for pricing decision. Cost information is not only needed for effective decision making, but also needed to achieve the company's objective. Key information on costs and revenue must be made available to the management on a regular basis as it will determine to a great extent the financial health of the organization.

However, with the progress of social productivity and a higher level of market demand, great changes have taken place in the manufacturing environment, which results in serious information distortion provided by traditional cost method, and cannot satisfy the demand of the enterprise' product pricing management any more (Lin, Yi and Zhilin, 2014). Activity based costing was developed to cover up for the deficiencies emanating from the use of traditional costing technique in the area of overhead cost allocation to product. It can provide relatively accurate cost information and better serve the enterprise's product pricing decision (Roger, 2001). At present, only little study have been carried out on activity-based costing and target costing application in pricing decision. Usually product cost is divided into variable cost, activity cost and fixed cost. Due to the overlapping between those three costs, the cost basis of product pricing is not accurate. According to the product's cost behavior analysis, product cost can be divided into unit level, batch level, product-sustaining level and facility level activity costs. Because there is no overlapping between those four costs, it can provide more accurate and reliable cost information for product pricing (Lin, Yi and Zhilin, 2014). Stemming from the forgoing, this paper seeks to ascertain the difference in the use of activity base costing and Target costing technique for pricing decisions of selected manufacturing companies in Ogun State.

# 2 Objective of the Study

The general objective of this paper is to access the difference that exists in the use of costing techniques for pricing decision of selected manufacturing companies in Ogun State and the specific objectives are to;

- 1) examine the difference that exists in the use of Activity base costing technique for pricing decision of selected manufacturing companies in Ogun State; and
- 2) investigate the difference that exists in use of Target costing technique for pricing decision of selected manufacturing companies in Ogun State

# 3 Literature review and hypotheses development

ABC has been defined as a system that allows organizations to track the cost associated with activities performed to produce products or to deliver services. Activity Based Costing (ABC) could be seen as a managerial accounting system which determines the cost of activities without distortion and provides management with relevant and timely information. It does not represent just a new set of overhead allocation rules or techniques to value inventory. ABC represents a way to look at operating costs and provides methods to dissect the underlying activities, which cause costs to exist. ABC was developed as an approach to address problems associated with traditional cost management systems tending to have the inability to accurately determine actual production and service costs, or provide useful information for operating decisions (Volkán, 2007). Moreover, Kaplan and Cooper (1998) considered that the percentages of overhead costs had risen; traditional techniques became increasingly inaccurate because the indirect costs were not equally caused by all the products. Consequently, when multiple products share common costs, there is a risk that one product subsidize another, and that is why managers were making decisions based on inaccurate data.

### Advantages of Activity - Based Costing

Roya, Reza, Hossain and Maghsoud (2015) highlighted the following as the advantages of Activity base costing. ABC system is a very valuable tool of control. It offers a number of advantages to the management and the following are the main advantages: (I) it brings accuracy and reliability of the costing data in determination of the cost of the products\_ (2) it facilitates cause and effect relationship to exercise effective cost control. (3) It provides necessary cost information to the management to take decisions on any matter, relating to the business\_ (4) it is much helpful in fixing the cost and selling price of a product. (5) It facilitates overhead costs allocated directly to the specific product. (6) It focuses on managing activities rather than costs. (7) It helps to remove all types of wastages and inefficiencies. (8) It provides valuable information to evaluate on the relative efficiencies of various plants and machinery. (9) Cost Driver Rates will help in significant impact on the development of new products or modification of existing products (Makepeace, 1997).

# **Target Costing**

Target Costing is an important tool for sustaining manufacturers' overall efforts to remain cost competitive while meeting standards and specifications demanded by customers (Ellarm, 2000). Target Costing uses price information in the market to determine product cost (Zeng and Ada, 2010).

In accounting literature, target costing has been introduced as a strategic management accounting system for the management of product costs (Ewert and Ernst, 1999). This management of target costs is generally referred to as TCM (Target Cost Management) and Japanese firms are concerned with achieving target costs simultaneously with planning, development and design of new products. In relation to this TCM system, specific tools were developed such as cost tables, value engineering, total quality management, and inter-organizational cost management (Cooper, 1995 and Kato, 1993). Target costing is a reverse costing Methodology in which the selling price and profit margin are used to determine the allowable cost for manufacturing a new/existing product (Dekker and smidt, 2003). The following formula is used to determine the allowable cost: Maximum allowable cost = attainable sales price – required profit margin, then allowable cost is adjusted for already identified cost reduction opportunities and for cost increasing and cost decreasing to reach the target cost (Yazadifar and Askarany, 2012).

# **Research Hypotheses**

# Hypothesis 1

 $H_0$ : there is no significant difference in the use of Activity based costing for pricing decision of selected manufacturing companies in Ogun State.

# Hypothesis 2

There is no significant difference in the use of Target costing for pricing decision of selected manufacturing companies in Ogun state.

# 4 Methodologies

### 4.1 Research design

The research design adopted for this study was cross sectional survey design.

### 4.2 Population and sample selection

The population of the study comprised of the ninety eight (98) Manufacturing companies in Ogun- state. It was sourced from Manufacturer Association of Nigeria and the selected manufacturing companies were segregated into ten (10) categories. The sample size for this study comprised of twenty two Manufacturing companies and the sampling technique adopted was Non probability sampling technique using convenience sampling technique for easy administration of the research instrument.

#### 4.3 Data Analysis Procedure

In analyzing the data gathered for this study, the study made use of both descriptive and inferential statistics such as percentage analysis, Mean, Minimum, Maximum, standard deviation, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, Kruskal-Wallis test.

#### **5** Results and discussion

# 5.1 Data Presentation- Respondents' Demographics

Tables 1 to 8 present the results of analyzing the demographics of respondents, and also some firm characteristics.

 Table 1: Gender of respondents

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Male	59	56.2	56.2	56.2
Valid	Female	46	43.8	43.8	100.0
	Total	105	100.0	100.0	

Table 1 shows the research instrument were distributed relatively proportionately between male (56.2%) and female (43.8%) respondents. The study is therefore not gender biased or lobe-sided towards a particular gender.

Table 2:Academic Qua	lification of respondents
----------------------	---------------------------

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
<b>1</b> 7 1' 1	BSc/HND	46	43.8	43.8	43.8
	MSc/MA/MBA	50	47.6	47.6	91.4
Valid	PhD	9	8.6	8.6	100.0
	Total	105	100.0	100.0	

Table 2 shows the academic qualifications of respondents. 43.8% have a first degree; 47.6% have a second degree and 8.6% have a third degree. This shows that respondents possess requisite academic qualifications.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	ACA	49	46.7	47.1	47.1
	ACCA	28	26.7	26.9	74.0
Valid	ANAN	18	17.1	17.3	91.3
	CPA	3	2.9	2.9	94.2
	Others	7	5.7	5.8	100.0
Total		105	100.0		

Table 3: Professional Qualification of respondents

Table 3 shows the professional qualifications of respondents. Majority are ICAN qualified (47.1%), followed by the ACCA qualified (26.7%). 17.3% are ANAN qualified, while the rest belong to other professional bodies. Table 4 : Age of Organization

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent		
	1-5years	3	2.9	2.9	2.9		
	6-10years	18	17.1	17.1	20.0		
Valid	11-15years	39	37.1	37.1	57.1		
vanu	15-20years	22	21.0	21.0	78.1		
	above 20 years	23	21.9	21.9	100.0		
	Total	105	100.0	100.0			

Table 4 contains the age of the organization. The age distribution cuts across the five strata for age. Most of the organizations are 11-15 years old (37.1%). 2.9% are within the age of 1-5 years.

Table 5: Number of employees	
------------------------------	--

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Less than 20	3	2.9	2.9	2.9
	20-50	20	19.0	19.0	21.9
<b>T</b> 7 1 1	50-100	25	23.8	23.8	45.7
Valid	100-200	35	33.3	33.3	79.0
	above 200	22	21.0	21.0	100.0
	Total	105	100.0	100.0	

Employee size of the organization also cuts across the five strata. Majority of the organizations have 100-200 numbers of employees (33.3%). The lowest range of employee is less than 20, with 3 (2.9%) firms in that category.

Table 6: C	overall	work	experience
------------	---------	------	------------

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	<5yrs	9	8.6	8.6	8.6
	5yrs- 10yrs	17	16.2	16.2	24.8
Valid	11yrs-15yrs	50	47.6	47.6	72.4
	>15yrs	29	27.6	27.6	100.0
	Total	105	100.0	100.0	

Tables 6 and 7 respectively show the work experiences of respondents. The work experiences are spread across the categories, meaning that persons with varying length of experiences are represented.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	<5yrs	41	39.0	39.0	39.0
	5yrs- 10yrs	43	41.0	41.0	80.0
Valid	11yrs-15yrs	18	17.1	17.1	97.1
	>15yrs	3	2.9	2.9	100.0
	Total	105	100.0	100.0	

Table 7: Work experience in current organization

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Less than 10Million	10	9.5	9.5	9.5
10-20Million	14	13.3	13.3	22.9
20-50Million	38	36.2	36.2	59.0
Over 50Million but less than 100Million	22	21.0	21.0	80.0
Over 100Million	21	20.0	20.0	100.0
Valid Total	105	100.0	100.0	

### Table 8: Turnover (N/per annum)

The results in table 8 show that the organizations have different categories of turnover, hence different sizes. This means that both small, medium sized, and large-sized firms are represented and covered by the study.

# 5.2 Use of Activity Based Costing for Pricing Decisions (ABCPD)

Table 9 presents analysis result on the use of activity based costing for pricing decisions.

#### Table 9: Descriptive Statistics on the use of ABC for pricing decisions (ABCPD)

	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Do you agree Activity based costing is widely used in	105	1	5	4.46	.694
in your manufacturing company?	105	1	5	4.40	.074
Do you agree Activity based costing technique is used	105	2	5	4.31	.593
for identifying activities and assigning cost for each			_		
activity in your manufacturing company?					
Do you agree that Activity based costing is more useful	105	2	5	4.27	.737
than traditional costing technique in your					
manufacturing company?					
Do you agree that there is positive relationship between	105	1	5	4.15	.718
Activity based costing and pricing decision in your					
manufacturing company?					
Do you agree that Activity based costing technique is		2	5	4.27	.669
used for improving decision making processes in					
relation to product cost in your manufacturing					
company?					
Do you agree that Activity based costing technique is		2	5	4.11	.738
used for improvement of the decision making process					
in relation to the preservation and discontinuance of					
activities?	105	1	F	4.00	005
Do you agree that Activity based costing is used for		1	р	4.08	.885
adjusting pricing policy to increase product mix					
completely? Do you agree that Activity based costing is relevant for	105	1	5	4.23	.800
management efficiency in your manufacturing	105	1	9	4.23	.000
company?					
ABCPD	105			4.2345*	
	*composi	· .	1	112010	

\*composite mean

From table 9, we can infer that the consensus on the wide use of Activity based costing is very strong (M= 4.46, SD=.694) as this item has the highest mean; followed by the use of Activity based costing for identifying activities and assigning cost for each activity in manufacturing concerns (M= 4.31, SD=4.31); Activity based costing being more useful than traditional costing techniques (M= 4.27, SD=.737); and Activity based costing technique being used for improving decision making processes in relation to product cost in manufacturing companies (M=4.27, SD=.669).

Other uses of Activity Based costing include achieving managerial efficiency (M= 4.23), pricing decision (M= 4.15), improvement of the decision making process in relation to the preservation and discontinuance of activities (M=4.11); and adjusting pricing policy to increase product mix (M= 4.08). On the whole, all the items have mean score above 4.0 over a 5 point scale (equivalent to 80%). This means that respondents agree that Activity based costing is highly useful for pricing decision. We computed the composite mean score for use of Activity Based costing for Decision-making (designated with the variable name, *ABCPD*) by aggregating the scores of all the eight items in table 9 and finding the average.

Other statistics of the variable ABCPD is contained in table 11. The composite mean of ABCPD at 4.2345 equally corroborates our submission that respondents regard ABC to be very useful for pricing decision in manufacturing concerns.

# 5.3 Use of Target Costing for Pricing Decisions (TACPD)

Table 10: Descriptive Statistics on the use of Target Costing for Pricing Decisions (TACPD)

1	N	Minimum	Maximum		Std. Deviation
Do you agree Target costing is used for pricing decision in I	105	2	5	4.33	.755
your manufacturing company					
Do you agree that there is positive relationship between Target 1	105	2	5	4.10	.649
costing and pricing decision in your manufacturing company?					
Do you agree your Manufacturing company has been using 1	105	1	5	4.09	.822
Target costing technique for many years?					
Do you agree Target costing techniques is used for making 1	105	1	5	4.19	.695
rational and quick decisions in your manufacturing company?					
Do you agree that Target costing is more relevant than 1	105	1	5	4.09	.856
traditional costing techniques in your manufacturing					
company?					
Do you agree that Target costing technique bring about 1	105	1	5	4.20	.752
customer satisfaction?					
TACPD 1	105			4.1667**	

# \*\*composite mean of TACPD= Target costing for pricing decision

Results in table 10, suggest that respondents consider Target costing to be useful for pricing decision (M=4.33, SD=.755); and for enhancing customer satisfaction (M= 4.20, SD=.752); and for making rational and quick decisions in manufacturing firms (M= 4.19, SD=.695). There is also a strong consensus in their opinion that there is positive relationship between Target costing and pricing decision (M= 4.10, SD=.649); that Target costing is more relevant than traditional costing techniques in manufacturing companies (M= 4.09, SD=.856). The six items in table 10 have mean score above 4.0 on a 5-point calibrated scale. The composite mean score for the variable, *TACPD* (Target Costing for Pricing Decisions), obtained by aggregating and averaging the score of the six items is 4.1667, which is high, confirming that target costing is highly useful for pricing decision, based on the survey result. Other statistics for the variable, *TACPD* is presented in table 11.

#### **Composite Statistics of variables**

#### Table 11: Descriptive Statistics of ABCPD and TACPD

	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
ABCPD	105	3.25	4.88	4.2345	.31139
TACPD	105	3.17	5.00	4.1667	.36324
Valid N (listwise)	105				

#### 5.4 Test of Normality

We tested for normality of the ABCPD and TACPD variables using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test as in table 12 and summary result in table 13.

#### Table 12: One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		ABCPD	TACPD	
N		105	105	
Normal Parameters <sup>a,b</sup>	Mean	4.2345	4.1667	
	Std. Deviation	.31139	.36324	
	Absolute	.177	.157	
Most Extreme Differences	Positive	.109	.103	
	Negative	177	157	
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		1.813	1.610	
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.003	.011	

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

#### Table 13: Normality hypothesis test summary for ABCPD and TACPD

-				
	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
	The distribution of ABCPD is nor with mean 4.235 and standard deviation 0.31.	m <i>a</i> Dne-Sample Kolmogorov- Smirnov Test	.003	Reject the null hypothesis.
2	The distribution of TACPD is nor with mean 4.167 and standard deviation 0.36.	m <i>a</i> Dne-Sample Kolmogorov- Smirnov Test	.011	Reject the null hypothesis.

			-	
Hypot	hesis	Test	Summ	аги

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.

The p- value is less than.05, meaning that the assumption of normality is not satisfied. We therefore used the non-parametric statistics to analyze differences in mean, using the Kruskal-Wallis test.

#### 5.5 Hypotheses Testing- Analysis of differences in Mean

We used firm characteristics such as Age and Size (proxied by Number of employees and Turnover) as grouping variable to examine if there is difference in the use of Activity based costing and Target Costing for pricing decisions. Results are presented in sections 5.5.1 and 5.5.2 respectively.

5.5.1 Use of Activity Based costing for pricing decision

H0<sup>1:</sup> There is no significant difference in the use of ABC for pricing decision among Nigerian manufacturing firms.

Table 14: Use of ABCPD using Firm Age as grouping variable

	Пуроспозіз	rest samma	<b>y</b>	
	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distribution of ABCPD is the same across categories of Age of Organization.	e Independent- Samples Kruskal- Wallis Test	.534	Retain the null hypothesis.

#### Hypothesis Test Summary

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.

 Table 15: Use of ABCPD using Number of employee as grouping va

 Table 16: Use of ABCPD using Firm Turnover as grouping variable

#### Hypothesis Test Summary

Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
The distribution of ABCPD is the same across categories of Turnove (N/per annum).	Independent- Samples Kruskal- Wallis Test	.058	Retain the null hypothesis.

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.

# Decision:

In tables 14, 15 and 16, the p value  $\leq$ .05, meaning there is no significant difference in the use of ABC for pricing decision among manufacturing companies in Ogun State, we therefore retain the null hypothesis that *there is no significant difference in the use of ABC for pricing decision among manufacturing companies in Ogun State*.

5.5.2 Use of Target Costing for pricing decision

H0<sup>2:</sup> There is no significant difference in the use of Target Costing for pricing decision among manufacturing companies in Ogun State.

Table 17: Use of TACPD using Firm Age as grouping variable

	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distribution of TACPD is the same across categories of Age o Organization.	Independent- Samples Kruskal- Wallis Test	.650	Retain the null hypothesis.

#### Hypothesis Test Summary

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.

#### Table 18: Use of TACPD using Number of Employees as grouping variable

_	riypotriesis	i est Summar	У	
	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distribution of TACPD is the same across categories of Number of employees.	Independent- Samples Kruskal- Wallis Test	.050	Reject the null hypothesis

#### Uvnothesis Test Summany

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.

Table 19: Use of TACPD using Number of Employees as grouping variable

Hypothesis	Test	Summarv
пуроспозіз	1030	Sammary

Ľ	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
	The distribution of TACPD is the same across categories of Turnov (N/per annum).	Independent- e <sup>r</sup> Samples Kruskal- Wallis Test	.000	Reject the null hypothesis.

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.

# Decision:

In table 17, p value  $\leq$  .650. There is no significant difference in the application of Target Costing for decisionmaking among the firms on the basis of their age. However, in tables 18 and 19, the p value  $\leq .05$ , meaning there is significant difference in the use of Target Costing for pricing decision among manufacturing companies in Ogun State, we therefore reject the null hypothesis but accept the alternate that there is significant difference in the use of Target costing for pricing decision among manufacturing companies in Ogun State.

#### 6 Summary of findings

Stemming from the findings of this study, it was revealed that there is no significant difference in the use of ABC for pricing decision among manufacturing companies in Ogun State. The study further revealed that there is however significant difference in the use of Target costing for pricing decision among manufacturing companies in Ogun State.

# 7. Conclusions and Recommendation

The consensus on the wide use of Activity based costing is very strong. Activity based costing is also useful for identifying activities and assigning cost for each activity in manufacturing concerns. Activity based costing is more useful than traditional costing techniques ; and Activity based costing technique is being used for improving decision making processes in relation to product cost in manufacturing companies. Other uses which Activity Based costing has include achieving managerial efficiency, pricing decision, and improvement of the decision making process in relation to the preservation and discontinuance of activities; and adjusting pricing policy to increase product mix. Activity based costing is highly useful for pricing decision.

Target costing is considered useful for pricing decision; for enhancing customer satisfaction; and for making rational and quick decisions in manufacturing firms. There is also a strong consensus in their opinion that there is positive relationship between Target costing and pricing decision; that Target costing is more relevant than traditional costing techniques in manufacturing companies. There is no significant difference in the use of ABC for pricing decision among manufacturing companies in Ogun State. There is however significant difference in the use of Target costing for pricing decision among manufacturing companies in Ogun State. There is however significant difference in the use of strategic cost management techniques such as Activity based costing and target costing will call for some changes in the way things are done in the organization. As such, having change management skills in organizations is crucial in ensuring a smooth transitioning from the traditional costing techniques to the strategically-oriented techniques.

# References

- Cooper, R. (1995). "When Lean Enterprises Collide; Competing through Competition". Harvard Business School press-Boston, MA.
- Dekker, H. & Smidt, S. (2003) "A Survey of the Adoption and Use of Target Costing in Dutch Firm", International Journal of Production Economics 84, 243-305.
- Ellram, L. M. (2000). Purchasing and supply management's participation in the target costing process. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 36 (2), 39-51.
- Evert, R., & Ernst, C (1999), "Target Costing, Coordination and Strategic Cost Management" European Accounting Review, 8, 23-49.
- Kaplan, R. S. & Cooper, R. (1998). Cost and effect-using integrated cost systems to drive profitability and performance. Boston: Harvard Business Press.
- Kato, Y., (1993). "Target Costing Support Systems. Lesson from Leading Japanese Companies", Accounting Research 4, 33-47.
- Lin, C., Yi, H., & Zhilin, Q. (2014). Product Pricing Based on Activity-Based Costing. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting. 5 (18), 85-91
- Makepeace J (1997). Activity Based Costing. Available: <u>https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives-documents/400-series/0430.1-EGuide-1-Chp24</u>.
- Nitin, K., & Delgobind, M. (2013). Current Trends of Application of Activity based costing (ABC): A Review. Global Journal of Management and Business research Accounting and Auditing. 13(3), 10-24
- Roger V Dickeson. (2001). Enter the world of Activity-Based Costing, Printing Impressions, Apr.
- Roya, D., Reza, A. Z., Hossain, A., & Maghsoud, E. Z. (2015). An Overview Of The Theoretical Activity Based Costing. Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences. 5 (1), 5042-5047
- Volkan I.R. (2007), "ABC&ABM The couple which prevails cost calculation and modern administration for performance", Accounting and Management Information Systems, Supplement, 284-292.
- Yazdifar, H., & Davood, A. (2012). "Comparative study of the Adoption and Implementation of Target Costing in UK, Australia, and New Zealand". International Journal of Production Economics 135, 382-392.
- Zengin, Y., & Ada, E. (October 2010), "Cost Management through Product Design: target costing approach". International Journal of production research. 47(19), 5593-5611.